

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/506,352	YOSHIOKA, KAZUEI	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Kimberly D. Nguyen	2876	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Kimberly D. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

(2) Jeffrey Wyand.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 July 2006

Time: about 12:40pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to request a clarification of reference JP 63-95891, submitted April 4, 2005, which was objected by the examiner in the Office action dated March 22, 2006. The attorney of record, Mr. Wyand, explained that there was a typo-error on this reference. The IDS submitted May 16, 2006 includes JP-63-9589, which replaces the typo-error reference JP 63-95891.